

Proposed Wellbeing and Sustainable Development (Scotland) Bill

Introduction

A proposal for a Member's Bill to ensure policy development and implementation by public bodies is in line with principles of sustainable development and wellbeing by introducing a duty for public bodies to promote these principles and establishing a Commissioner for sustainable development and wellbeing.

The consultation runs from 14 December 2022 to 24 March 2023

All those wishing to respond to the consultation are strongly encouraged to enter their responses electronically through this survey. This makes collation of responses much simpler and quicker. However, the option also exists of sending in a separate response (in hard copy or by other electronic means such as e-mail), and details of how to do so are included in the member's consultation document.

Questions marked with an asterisk (*) require an answer.

All responses must include a name and contact details. Names will only be published if you give us permission, and contact details are never published – but we may use them to contact you if there is a query about your response. If you do not include a name and/or contact details, we may have to disregard your response.

Please note that you must complete the survey in order for your response to be accepted. If you don't wish to complete the survey in a single session, you can choose "Save and Continue later" at any point. Whilst you have the option to skip particular questions, you must continue to the end of the survey and press "Submit" to have your response fully recorded.

Please ensure you have read the consultation document before responding to any of the questions that follow. In particular, you should read the information contained in the document about how your response will be handled. The consultation document is available here:

[Consultation Document](#)

[Privacy Notice](#)

I confirm that I have read and understood the Privacy Notice which explains how my personal data will be used.

On the previous page we asked you if you are UNDER 12 YEARS old, and you responded Yes to this question.

If this is the case, we will have to contact your parent or guardian for consent.

If you are under 12 years of age, please put your contact details into the textbox. This can be your email address or phone number. We will then contact you and your parents to receive consent.

Otherwise please confirm that you are or are not under 12 years old.

No Response

About you

Please choose whether you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation.
Note: If you choose "individual" and consent to have the response published, it will appear under your own name. If you choose "on behalf of an organisation" and consent to have the response published, it will be published under the organisation's name.

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Professional with experience in a relevant subject

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following:

I am content for this response to be published and attributed to me or my organisation

Please provide your Full Name or the name of your organisation. (Only give the name of your organisation if you are submitting a response on its behalf).
(Note: the name will not be published if you have asked for the response to be anonymous or "not for publication". Otherwise this is the name that will be published with your response).

Lindsay Wood

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number.

We will not publish these details.

[REDACTED]

Aim and approach - Note: All answers to the questions in this section may be published (unless your response is "not for publication").

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? (Please note, that this question is compulsory.)

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response.

This is a big and important topic, with lots of chat and discussion, but has been missing some leadership and some focus. We either believe this is an issue and reprioritise it and therefore redirect resources to it,

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? (Please note, that this question is compulsory.)

or we accept we're having an unstructured and opportunistic approach, which appears to be what we've had so far. Having the muscle and the force of government policy behind this issue is an important stage in the development of wellbeing that is linked to sustainable and positive development. I believe we should get serious about this, have heavy involvement from third sector and communities as we can, and seek to minimise the bureaucracy that often follows such measures. Bureaucrats do have a role, but not in the actual delivery or development, which is best left to those in an enterprising and subsidised community role.

Q2. Do you think legislation is required, or are there other ways in which the proposed Bill's aims could be achieved more effectively? Please explain the reasons for your response.

I don't mind and would settle for whatever works best. The topic is too big to be slowed down, so I favour the quickest route to market for whatever services and processes are needed to make a substantive difference.

Q3. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether 'sustainable development' should be defined in legislation?

Partially supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response, including any views on what the definition should include.

The reality is that governmental policy that has been approved is a safe route for people to follow. My choice would be to have policy approval and to exploit the choices it brings by having community and third sector support, or private sector support, with minimal governmental and public sector delivery.

Q4. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether 'wellbeing' should be defined in legislation?

Please explain the reasons for your response, including any views on what the definition should include.

It's easier with agreed definitions. As per the previous answer it is most likely better to also have policy approval, but the key is to also have agreed definitions and agreed delivery routes that have an element of entrepreneurial flair, and less of the controlling and monitoring that can slow impact and cause backlogs and frustration. Momentum in delivery is key to success, and we should try and maximise this - if it is a good idea then let's get to the nub of it as quickly as we can and get on with it.

Q5. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether there should be a Commissioner for sustainable development and wellbeing?

Neutral (neither support nor oppose)

Please explain the reasons for your response, including any views on what the key functions of the proposed Commissioner should be (see pages 19 to 20 of the consultation document), what model of governance could be adopted (see page 22 to 23), and whether the Commissioner could play a role in strengthening existing duties or legislation.

Q5. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether there should be a Commissioner for sustainable development and wellbeing?

If it adds value then yes, but if it slows down the process, or worse than that it further bureaucratises the process, then I would not want it.

Q6. What, in your view, should the title of the proposed Commissioner be?

Please explain the reasons for your response.

I don't mind

Q7. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether there is a need for duties for public bodies to promote sustainable development and wellbeing in policy development and implementation?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response including views on any barriers to implementation of these duties and on how the effectiveness of implementation could be measured.

Public sector can promote all they can and all they like, but its often better to have private sector flair, and community input, and for the public sector to create the framework, but then to step away and stay away from delivery.

Financial Implications

Q8. Any new law can have a financial impact which would affect individuals, businesses, the public sector, or others. What financial impact do you think this proposal could have if it became law?

Don't know

Please explain the reasons for your response, including who you would expect to feel the financial impact of the proposal, and if there are any ways you think the proposal could be delivered more cost-effectively.

It depends on context, but will need some resource, but will also create more fairness, will save on opportunity costs and consequential costs of not doing it, so I see it as an investment and not as a cost.

Equalities

Q9. Any new law can have an impact on different individuals in society, for example as a result of their age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership status, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation.

What impact could this proposal have on particular people if it became law? If you do not have a view skip to next question.

Please explain the reasons for your response and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts on particular people.

As a citizen I consent to being governed, and wish such governance to be equitable and fair, but also to have impact. I fully support this within the context of my previous answers.

Sustainability

Q10. Any new law can impact on work to protect and enhance the environment, achieve a sustainable economy, and create a strong, healthy, and just society for future generations.

Do you think the proposal could impact in any of these areas? (If you do not have a view then skip to next question)

Please explain the reasons for your response, including what you think the impact of the proposal could be, and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts?

No Response

General

Q11. Do you have any other additional comments or suggestions on the proposed Bill (which have not already been covered in any of your responses to earlier questions)?

Keep consulting, analyse what you hear, put it into context, and crucially then find a quick way to get on with it.