Proposed Wellbeing and Sustainable Development (Scotland) Bill

Introduction

A proposal for a Member's Bill to ensure policy development and implementation by public bodies is in line with principles of sustainable development and wellbeing by introducing a duty for public bodies to promote these principles and establishing a Commissioner for sustainable development and wellbeing.

The consultation runs from 14 December 2022 to 24 March 2023

All those wishing to respond to the consultation are strongly encouraged to enter their responses electronically through this survey. This makes collation of responses much simpler and quicker. However, the option also exists of sending in a separate response (in hard copy or by other electronic means such as e-mail), and details of how to do so are included in the member's consultation document.

Questions marked with an asterisk (*) require an answer.

All responses must include a name and contact details. Names will only be published if you give us permission, and contact details are never published – but we may use them to contact you if there is a query about your response. If you do not include a name and/or contact details, we may have to disregard your response.

Please note that you must complete the survey in order for your response to be accepted. If you don't wish to complete the survey in a single session, you can choose "Save and Continue later" at any point. Whilst you have the option to skip particular questions, you must continue to the end of the survey and press "Submit" to have your response fully recorded.

Please ensure you have read the consultation document before responding to any of the questions that follow. In particular, you should read the information contained in the document about how your response will be handled. The consultation document is available here:

Consultation Document

Privacy Notice

I confirm that I have read and understood the Privacy Notice which explains how my personal data will be used.

On the previous page we asked you if you are UNDER 12 YEARS old, and you responded Yes to this question.

If this is the case, we will have to contact your parent or guardian for consent.

If you are under 12 years of age, please put your contact details into the textbox. This can be your email address or phone number. We will then contact you and your parents to receive consent.

Otherwise please confirm that you are or are not under 12 years old.

No Response

About you

Please choose whether you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation.

Note: If you choose "individual" and consent to have the response published, it will appear under your own name. If you choose "on behalf of an organisation" and consent to have the response published, it will be published under the organisation's name.

on behalf of an organisation

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

No Response

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

Third sector (charitable, campaigning, social enterprise, voluntary, non-profit)

Please choose one of the following:

I am content for this response to be published and attributed to me or my organisation

Please provide your Full Name or the name of your organisation. (Only give the name of your organisation if you are submitting a response on its behalf).

(Note: the name will not be published if you have asked for the response to be anonymous or "not for publication". Otherwise this is the name that will be published with your response).

Cycling Scotland

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number.

We will not publish these details.

[REDACTED]

Aim and approach - Note: All answers to the questions in this section may be published (unless your response is "not for publication").

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? (Please note, that this question is compulsory.)

Partially supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response.

- As is made clear, There are enormous differences in the quality of environment experienced in Scotland

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? (Please note, that this question is compulsory.)

affecting people's life chances and health. This proposal acknowledges and helps address this inequity.

With a common definition, a commissioner and responsibility on public bodies to act in the interest of future generations there is an increased opportunity for, and focus on, meeting our climate goals. The recent news in Wales around road building that will need to prioritise active travel shows the potential within the transport sector to benefit from a similar act and a commissioner.

- Placing further duties on public bodies across Scotland has the potential to build closer partnerships on the theme of sustainability that have long term benefits for future generations. For this to work, public bodies must receive support, including sufficient funding on a long term basis, and be consulted on any changes.
- As this bill has potential to support active travel we recommend that current targets and strategies in this area are considered such as a reduction of car kilometres by 20% and an increase in cycling under the new Cycling Framework and Delivery Plan for Active Travel in Scotland 2022-2030 when this is finalised. It is important that the new commissioner places a sustainable travel hierarchy at the centre of their work to encourage more people to switch to active travel modes where possible.
- Q2. Do you think legislation is required, or are there other ways in which the proposed Bill's aims could be achieved more effectively? Please explain the reasons for your response.
- We believe that like in Wales, legislation could help define sustainable development and place this in the centre of decision making. The current Welsh Act also shows links to Sustainable Development Goals and is a common framework to work from.
- The new NPF4 is an opportunity to plan for a more sustainable Scotland and can be used by the new commissioner to advocate for active travel infrastructure and planning that includes place-making principles.
- Q3. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether 'sustainable development' should be defined in legislation?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response, including any views on what the definition should include

As examples in the proposed bill have shown, there are different definitions in various strategies even though the term is mentioned in 10% of legislation. It is crucial that the term is well understood to achieve bold actions and currently there is a lot of ambiguity. Sustainable economic growth for example should not include references to cars or road building and we also need to be careful that the term 'sustainable transport' does not include electric vehicles, as these still produce emissions and can result in the same road dangers for people walking, wheeling and cycling.

Q4. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether 'wellbeing' should be defined in legislation?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response, including any views on what the definition should include.

- We are supportive of this term being included.
- The Carnegie UK definition is useful as it also considers environmental wellbeing, placemaking and the interaction of different kinds of wellbeing.

Q4. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether 'wellbeing' should be defined in legislation?

— We would welcome the inclusion of the ability to travel actively and independently, especially for young people, when aiming for improving our wellbeing for future generations. We know that cycling has a wealth of benefits for wider society and these also include benefits for other family members.

Q5. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether there should be a Commissioner for sustainable development and wellbeing?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response, including any views on what the key functions of the proposed Commissioner should be (see pages 19 to 20 of the consultation document), what model of governance could be adopted (see page 22 to 23), and whether the Commissioner could play a role in strengthening existing duties or legislation.

The role could be similar to the one in Wales with the Commissioner having the power to conduct reviews into public bodies on future generations needs, to research and to advise ministers.

Q6. What, in your view, should the title of the proposed Commissioner be?

Please explain the reasons for your response.

We note the Future Generations Commissioner in Wales has managed to achieve positive partnership building and has encouraged consideration and expansion of active travel through her work.

Q7. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether there is a need for duties for public bodies to promote sustainable development and wellbeing in policy development and implementation?

Fully supportive

Financial Implications

Q8. Any new law can have a financial impact which would affect individuals, businesses, the public sector, or others. What financial impact do you think this proposal could have if it became law?

No Response

Equalities

Q9. Any new law can have an impact on different individuals in society, for example as a result of their age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership status, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation.

What impact could this proposal have on particular people if it became law? If you do not have a view skip to next question.

Please explain the reasons for your response and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts on particular people.

No Response

Sustainability

Q10. Any new law can impact on work to protect and enhance the environment, achieve a sustainable economy, and create a strong, healthy, and just society for future generations.

Do you think the proposal could impact in any of these areas? (If you do not have a view then skip to next question)

Please explain the reasons for your response, including what you think the impact of the proposal could be, and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts?

Yes.

- This proposal could have a positive impact on future generations because of it's potential to support us towards net zero and sustainable development goals. The potential outcomes of cleaner air and a reduced number of private vehicles on the roads will benefit all generations and specifically those who are most vulnerable.
- This act has the potential for reducing ageism through intergenerational collaboration. It could be used to begin discussions between generations on the environment, active travel and placemaking.
- If linked to the Sustainable Travel Hierarchy and the 20% reduction in car kilometres goal, this act will have a positive impact on vulnerable road users who will feel safer to walk, wheel and cycle.

General

Q11. Do you have any other additional comments or suggestions on the proposed Bill (which have not already been covered in any of your responses to earlier questions)?

No Response